UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,582	02/17/2004	Jane P. Bearinger	IL-11213	2811
24981 7590 10/02/2008 Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY			EXAMINER	
			ANDERSON, GREGORY A	
PO BOX 808, I LIVERMORE,	L-703 E, CA 94551-0808		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/781,582	BEARINGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	GREGORY A. ANDERSON	3773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ma	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,4-21,25,31,32,34 and 35 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4-21,25,31,32,34 and 35 is/are reject 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of the conference of the confer	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/781,582 Page 2

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 13 May 2008, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,582 Page 3

Art Unit: 3773

The phrase "having a substantially flowing fluid shape" renders the claim indefinite. Flowing fluids are amorphous in structure and can only take the shape of the container they are placed in and therefore the foam as claimed has no definite shape.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- **4.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-12, 14, 16-21, 25, 31, 32, 34, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans et al. 5,549,633 in view of Bleys et al. 6,034,149.

Evans et al. discloses an apparatus for closure of an arterial puncture comprising: a closure body 22, the closure body being made of foam formed into a primary shape and compressed into a reduced secondary stable shape and then controllably actuated to that it recovers its primary shape (Figs. 10-12), a delivery catheter 20 adapted to receive the closure body and adapted to deploy the closure body into the physical anomaly, wherein the foam of the closure body in the secondary shape is configured for positioning the closure body within the anomaly (Fig. 10), and wherein the foam is controllably actuated so that it recovers its primary shape with the primary shape being configured to close the anomaly (Fig. 12). Evans et al. further discloses a plunger 28 for controllably actuating the foam and a tube 26. The foam of Evans et al. takes the form of the container it is in, i.e. a tubular shape when it is within the

deployment device and a shape conforming to the tissue surrounding the closure body when deployed, and thus has similar shape to that which a flowing fluid would have in the same scenarios.

However, Evans et al. does not disclose the foam of the closure body being formed from a shape memory polymer having at least one hard segment and one soft segment wherein the hard segment is formed at a temperature above the glass transition temperature and the soft segment is formed at a temperature below the glass transition temperature. Evans et al. further does not disclose the closure body comprising ploycaprolactone, polyesters, or biodegradable linkages comprising ester. Evans et al. further does not disclose transitioning the closure body to its primary shape by changing the temperature above the glass transition temperature in order to close the anomaly.

Bleys et al. discloses shape memory foam comprising ploycaprolactone, polyesters, and biodegradable linkages comprising ester (Col. 5 II. 19-47, Col. 1 II. 12-15). Bleys et al. further discloses hard and soft segments (Col. 1 II. 12-31), the hard segments being formed at temperatures above the glass transition temperature, the soft segments being formed at temperatures below the glass transition temperatures. Further Bleys et al. discloses using heat to transition the foam into its primary shape (Col. 1 II. 12-31). Further, Bleys et al. discloses using shape memory foams in medical applications (Col. 6 II. 46-56).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Evans et al. by using the shape memory foam of Bleys et al. in order to provide a foam that exhibits good absorption and retention characteristics, good wicking properties, stability, and simplicity of chemicals to ensure a minimum of leachable substances in contact with the human body as taught by Bleys et al. (Col. 6 II. 40-51).

6. Claims 6, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans et al. in view of Bleys et al. and further in view of Duane et al. 5,836,306.

Evans et al. in view of Bleys et al. discloses the invention essentially as claimed as discussed above.

However, Evans et al. in view of Bleys et al. does not disclose a restraint tube for backbleed measurement.

Duane et al. discloses a restraint tube 14 for the measurement and control of backbleed.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Evans et al. in view of Bleys et al. with the restraint tube of Duane et al. in order to provide backbleed control during and after placement of a catheter within a patient's vascular system as taught by Duane et al. (Col. 2 II. 44-49).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-21, 25, 31, 32, 34, and 35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY A. ANDERSON whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/781,582 Page 6

Art Unit: 3773

(571)270-3083. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 9:30am-3:00pm

EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gregory A Anderson/

/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773